2004 US Virgin Islands Reef Check Surveys

Jennifer Messineo

and

Jason Vasques

Division of Fish and Wildlife Department of Planning and Natural Resources U. S. Virgin Islands

October 2004

INTRODUCTION

The Division of Fish and Wildlife operates a grant to assess recreational fisheries habitat in the US Virgin Islands. As part of that project DFW utilized the Reef Check protocol to survey habitat, invertebrates, and fish assemblages at three sites around St. Thomas, US Virgin Islands. The Reef Check program is a global volunteer program designed to provide public education and raise awareness of the status of the world's reefs through the biological monitoring of key reef species. The Reef Check program provides a broad assessment of reefs which may be repeated annually for monitoring purposes. For more information on the Reef Check program see Hodgson, *et al.* (2003) or the Reef Check website at http://www.reefcheck.org. The data collected in this study was sent to the Reef Check Foundation for inclusion in their world database.

METHODS AND SITE SELECTION

Great Bay- On 11 September 2004, two transects were surveyed in Great Bay, St. Thomas. The Great Bay transects were previously surveyed by Vasques (2003) and Volson (2001). Volson (2001) however, used a slightly different survey method. This site was selected not only because it had been surveyed in the past but also because it is a nearshore reef that may be influenced by anthropogenic impacts. The site lies within the St. James Marine Reserve and therefore, fishing activities are restricted. The only fishing activities allowed are the capture of bait ad fishing by the use of hook and line, however, a permit must be obtained to fish. Volson's (2001) transects 19 and 20 ran parallel to a linear reef. The transects were found by using coordinates reported in Volson (2001) and finding the concrete blocks with PVC pipes left by that study. Transect 19 was at a depth of 6.5 m and transect 20 was at a depth of 8.5 m. Appendix 1 is the site description and coordinates for transects 19 and 20 (from Volson 2001) as provided for Reef Check.

Grass Cay- On 25 September 2004, two transects were completed at one site off Grass Cay, to the northeast of St. Thomas. This is an offshore cay with no human residential population and is probably little affected by development and industry on St. Thomas. There is however, commercial fishing and recreational diving around the cay (see Appendix 2 for the site description and coordinates). The reef at Grass Cay is a linear reef that is protected from north swells and has limited protection from southeast swells. The deep transect was at a depth of 16.2 m while the shallow transect ran parallel to the deep transect at a depth of 8.2 m. The shallow transect began at a mooring anchor (coordinates provided in Appendix 2) and followed a heading of approximately 120°. Both transects followed a contour parallel to the linear reef. The deep transect was located ~210° from the mooring at a depth of 16.2 m and also followed a heading of approximately 120°.

<u>Coculus Rocks</u>- On 25 September 2004, one transect was completed at Coculus Rocks, a small rocky outcrop colonized by coral in Benner Bay on the southeast end of St. Thomas. This site has not been surveyed before under this project, but appears to have been surveyed, due to the presence of underwater stakes and submerged floats, by another agency. This transect utilized

the already present markers. This transect began on the easternmost submerged point and ran west along the northern side following the underwater markers. This site lies within the Cas Cay/ Mangrove Lagoon Marine Reserve and therefore, fishing activities are prohibited except the capture of bait. The site is exposed to south and southeast swells. The reef at Coculus Rocks is shallow and only one transect could be completed. It was at a depth of 6.4 m (coordinates and site description provided in Appendix 3).

<u>Survey methods</u>- At all sites the Reef Check protocol was used (see Hodgson, *et al.*, 2003 for Reef Check methods). This protocol was slightly modified to better fit the US Virgin Islands needs. Modifications included a higher species resolution in the substrate surveys and the addition of key species of fish and invertebrates that are important to the USVI. During substrate surveys organisms were identified to the lowest taxa possible. In the case of the *Montastraea* complex, only two distinctions were made; *M. annularis* and *M. cavernosa. M. annularis* may include *M. annularis*, *M. franksi*, and *M. faveolata*. Additional key fishes included; angelfishes, queen triggerfish, hamlets, and species level identification of all grouper with size estimates for grouper. Two transects were conducted at each site, except Coculus Rocks, as explained above.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Great Bay- Tables 1 and 2 list the results of the substrate surveys conducted in Great Bay at Volson's (2001) transects 19 and 20. Sand was most prevalent in transect 19 while most of transect 20 was rock/dead coral. Hard coral only accounted for 9% of transect 19 and 23% of transect 20. In each transect, the most prominent corals were *Montastraea annularis* and *Porites astreoides* (Table 2). The last segment of transect 19 contained one coral colony that showed signs of bleaching. Coral bleaching also occurred in each of the four segments of transect 20. However, less than 10% of each colony in each segment was bleached. In addition, one coral colony showed anchor damage in the last segment of transect 20. Great Bay is the site of a large hotel with numerous water activities; therefore, there is a high potential for coral damage by tourist activities.

The densities of key indicator species are presented in Table 3 for fish and invertebrates. The most abundant fish for transects 19 and 20 were hamlets and snapper, respectively. One grouper was observed in transect 19: a red hind with TL 20 cm. Four grouper were observed in transect 20: two *Epinephelus guttatus* (25 and 50 cm TL), one *Cephalopholis fulva* (20 cm TL) and one *C. cruentatus* (25 cm TL). Gorgonians dominated most of the invertebrate counts along both transects. Due to diver error, pencil urchins were misidentified. Thus a conservative estimate of pencil urchin abundance was used based on previous years (Vasques 2003) and on other divers' observations.

Grass Cay- The results of the substrate transects at Grass Cay are presented in Tables 4 and 5. Within the transects there was a considerable amount of live coral cover with *Montastraea* annularis being the most prominent (Table 5). Hard coral coverage for both transects was 50% of the possible 160 point counts. The shallow transect showed limited signs of bleaching with 1-3% of the corals in three of the four 20 m survey segments exhibited bleaching. All four survey

segments of the deep transect showed minimal bleaching; from less than 1% to 2% of the colonies in each segment. Black band disease was observed on one colony of *Montastraea* annularis in the deep transect. Only one colony was damaged by means other than bleaching; however, the mode of damage was not obvious. This area has a small mooring field and experiences moderate use by recreational divers and dive operations. The site has a potential to be damaged by anchors and/or careless divers.

The results of key indicator species surveys for fish and invertebrates are presented in Table 6. The most abundant fish across the shallow transect were parrotfish. Snapper were the most abundant across the deep transect. Two grouper were encountered in the deep transect. Both were red hind approximately 15 and 20 cm TL. Again, pencil urchins were misidentified due to diver error and were subsequently removed from the analysis in Table 6.

<u>Coculus Rocks-</u> Tables 7 and 8 summarize the results of the substrate survey at Coculus Rocks. Hard corals accounted for 40% of the point counts; however, no single coral species was especially dominant. Nearly 30% of the entire transect was rock. Two colonies displayed signs of bleaching, one in the each of the last two 20 m transect segments. In both cases, approximately 25% of each colony was bleached. A small amount of coral damage of unknown origin was observed in the last segment. Ecotours sometimes use Coculus Rocks as a snorkel site. This may increase the potential for damage.

Table 9 presents the densities of key indicator species surveys for fish and invertebrates. Parrotfish were the most abundant fish within the transect. No grouper were observed. The invertebrate count was dominated by gorgonians.

The substrate codes used throughout the tables can be found in Appendix 4.

LITERATURE CITED

Hodgson, G., Maun, L., and Shuman, C. 2003. Reef Check Survey Manual for Coral Reefs of the Indo Pacific, Hawaii, Atlantic/Caribbean, Red Sea and Arabian Gulf. Reef Check, Institute of the Environment, University of California Los Angeles, CA. 33p.

Vasques, J. 2003. 2003 U.S. Virgin Islands Reef Check Surveys. Division of Fish and Wildlife, Department of Planning and Natural Resources, USVI. 7 pp.

Volson, B. 2001. Benthic Habitat Assessment Project. Division of Fish and Wildlife, Department of Planning and Natural Resources, USVI. Final Report F-7. 115 pp.

Table 1. 2004 substrate composition for Great Bay transects 19 and 20 using Reef Check categories, mean count of each category over four 20 m segments and mean percent coverage per 20 m segment.

	Trans	ect 19	Trans	sect 20
		Mean %		Mean %
	Mean count	coverage	Mean count	coverage
Substrate category*	<u>+</u> SD	<u>+</u> SD	<u>+</u> SD	<u>+</u> SD
Hard coral	3.75 ± 0.50	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.01	9 <u>+</u> 1.83	22.5 ± 0.05
Soft coral	0.25 ± 0.50	0.60 ± 0.01	1.25 <u>+</u> 1.89	3.1 ± 0.05
Recently killed coral	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Nutrient indicator algae	1.0 <u>+</u> 1.41	2.5 <u>+</u> 0.04	1.25 <u>+</u> 0.96	3.1 <u>+</u> 0.02
Sponges	0 <u>+</u> 0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Rock (dead coral)	11.0 <u>+</u> 5.94	27.5 <u>+</u> 0.15	13.75 <u>+</u> 4.11	34.4 <u>+</u> 0.10
Rubble	3.75 <u>+</u> 3.86	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.10	8.25 <u>+</u> 1.26	20.6 ± 0.03
Sand	20.25 ± 7.18	50.6 <u>+</u> 0.18	6.25 <u>+</u> 4.27	15.6 <u>+</u> 0.11
Silt/clay	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Other	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.0

^{*}Substrate categories are according to the Reef Check protocol, see http://www.reefcheck.org for the survey manual and details. Higher resolution data was also collected (see Table 2).

Table 2. 2004 substrate composition to species level for Great Bay in transects 19 and 20 (based on four 20 m segments).

Bay in transects Tr	ansect 19	Transect 20		
		Percent of		Percent of
Category*	Count	transect	Count	transect
AC				
AP				
AGAG	2	1	3	2
AGTE				
CN				
DCA	42	27	54	35
DC				
DIST				
DL				
DS	1	1	2	1
ERY	1	1	2	1
EF				
GV				
MILALC	1	1		
MA	3	2	8	5
MC	1	1	1	1
MYLA				
NIA	4	3	5	3
OT				
PA	3	2	6	4
PP	1	1	5	3
PSEUDO				
RKC				
RC			14	9
RB	15	9	33	21
SD	80	51	25	16
SR	1	1		
SS	2	1	3	2
SI				
SC			2	1
SP	1	1	7	4
НС				

^{*} Substrate codes are listed in Appendix IV

Table 3. 2004 mean density per 20 m segment of key species at Great Bay transects 19 and 20

	Transect 19	Transect 20
Fish	Mean no./(20m	Mean no./(20m
	segment) <u>+</u> SD	segment) <u>+</u> SD
Butterfly fish	0 ± 0.0	1.75 <u>+</u> 1.71
Grunts and	0.25 ± 0.5	4.25 ± 2.22
Margates	0.23 <u>+</u> 0.3	4.23 <u>+</u> 2.22
Snapper	0.5 ± 0.58	7.0 <u>+</u> 5.94
Nassau grouper	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Grouper	$0.25 \pm 0.5^{\dagger}$	1 <u>+</u> 8.2*
Hamlets	0.75 ± 0.5	3.25 <u>+</u> 2.75
Parrotfish	0.25 ± 0.5	2.0 <u>+</u> 2.45
Angelfish	0.25 ± 0.5	2.5 <u>+</u> 4.36
Queen triggerfish	0 ± 0.0	0 ± 0.0
Moray eel	0.25 ± 0.5	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Invertebrates		
Banded coral		
shrimp	$0. \pm 0.0$	1 <u>+</u> 0.82
Diadema urchin	0.5 ± 0.58	2.25 ± 0.96
Pencil urchin	0.5 ± 1.0	0.75 ± 1.50
Triton shell	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Flamingo tongue	$0. \pm 0.0$	0 ± 0.0
Gorgonian	19.5 <u>+</u> 4.51	7.5 <u>+</u> 11.12
Sea egg	0 + 0.0	0 ± 0.0
(Tripneustes)	0 ± 0.0	0 ± 0.0
Lobster	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.0

[†] Grouper were Epinephelus guttatus
* Grouper were Epinephelus guttatus and Cephalopholis fulva

Table 4. 2004 substrate composition for Grass Cay shallow and deep transects using Reef Check categories mean count of each category over four

20 m segments and mean percent coverage per 20 m segment.

-		Shallow Transect (Depth =		sect (Depth = 6.2m)
Substrate	Mean	3.2m) Mean %	Mean	Mean %
category*	count	coverage	count	coverage
caregory	<u>+</u> SD	<u>+</u> SD	<u>+</u> SD	<u>+</u> SD
Hard coral	20 <u>+</u> 4.16	50 <u>+</u> 0.10	19.75 <u>+</u> 3.3	49.4 <u>+</u> 0.08
Soft coral	1.75 <u>+</u> 2.22	4.4 <u>+</u> 0.06	2.25 <u>+</u> 1.26	5.6 <u>+</u> 0.03
Recently killed coral	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Nutrient indicator algae	2 <u>+</u> 0.82	5 <u>+</u> 0.02	0.75 ± 0.5	1.9 <u>+</u> 0.01
Sponges	0.5 <u>+</u> 0.58	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.01	0.5 ± 0.58	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.01
Rock (dead coral)	8.25 <u>+</u> 1.71	20.6 <u>+</u> 0.04	7.25 <u>+</u> 1.71	18.1 <u>+</u> 0.04
Rubble	0.5 ± 0.58	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.01	2.25 <u>+</u> 1.71	5.6 <u>+</u> 0.04
Sand	7 <u>+</u> 1.83	17.5 <u>+</u> 0.05	7.25 <u>+</u> 1.89	18.1 <u>+</u> 0.05
Silt/clay	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Other	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0

^{*}Substrate categories are according to the Reef Check protocol, see http://www.reefcheck.org for the survey manual and details. Higher resolution data was also collected (see Table 5).

Table 5. 2004 substrate composition to species level for Grass Cay shallow and deep transects (based on four 20 m segments).

Shallow transect							
		Percent of		Percent of			
Category*	Count	transect	Count	transect			
AC	7	4	1	1			
AP							
AGAG	2	1	4	3			
AGTE	4	3					
CN	1	1					
DCA	23	14.4	24	15			
DC	1	1	2	1			
DIST							
DL	1	1					
DS	1	1	3	2			
ERY	1	1	1	1			
EF	1	1					
GV	4	3	4	3			
MILALC	2	1	1	1			
MA	40	25	51	32			
MC			4	3			
MYLA	1	1					
NIA	8	5	3	2			
OT							
PA	8	5	5	3			
PP	8	5	5	3			
PSEUDO	1	1	2	1			
RKC							
RC	3	2	5	3			
RB	2	1	9	6			
SD	28	18	29	18			
SR	1	1	1	1			
SS	2	1	1	1			
SI	_	-	•	_			
SC	1	1	2	1			
SP	2	1	2	1			
HC		1	1	1			

^{*} Substrate codes are listed in Appendix IV

Table 6. 2004 density of key species at Grass Cay transects

1 able 0. 2004 de	Shallow transect	Deep transect
Fish	Mean no./(20m segment) <u>+</u> SD	Mean no./(20 m segment) <u>+</u> SD
Butterfly fish	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0.75 <u>+</u> 0.96
Grunts and Margates	0.75 <u>+</u> 0.96	1.25 <u>+</u> 2.50
Snapper	0.25 ± 0.5	5.5 <u>+</u> 10.34
Nassau grouper	0 ± 0.0	0 ± 0.0
Grouper	0 ± 0.0	$0.5 \pm 1.0^{\dagger}$
Hamlets	0.5 ± 0.58	1.75 <u>+</u> 1.26
Parrotfish	1 <u>+</u> 0.82	0.5 ± 0.58
Queen Triggerfish	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Angelfish	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Moray eel	0 ± 0	0 ± 0.0
Invertebrates		
Banded coral shrimp	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0
Diadema urchin	0 ± 0.0	0 ± 0.0
Pencil urchin*	N/A	N/A
Triton shell	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0.25 <u>+</u> 0.5
Flamingo tongue	0.25 ± 0.5	0 ± 0.0
Gorgonian	23.25 <u>+</u> 9.88	39 <u>+</u> 5.23
Sea egg (Tripneustes)	0 ± 0.0	0 ± 0.0
Lobster	0 ± 0.0	0 ± 0.0

[†] Grouper were *Epinephelus guttatus* *Due to diver error pencil urchins were not included at this site

Table 7. 2004 substrate composition for Coculus Rocks transect using Reef Check categories mean count of each category over four 20 m segments and mean percent coverage per 20 m segment.

Shallow (Depth = 6.4m)						
	Mean					
Substrate	count <u>+</u>	coverage <u>+</u>				
category	SD	SD				
Hard coral	16 <u>+</u> 2.58	40 <u>+</u> 0.06				
Soft coral	2.75 <u>+</u> 0.96	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.02				
Recently killed coral	0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0				
Nutrient indicator algae	2.25 ± 1.5	5.6 <u>+</u> 0.04				
Sponges	1.25 <u>+</u> 0.96	3.1 <u>+</u> 0.02				
Rock (dead coral)	11.75 <u>+</u> 3.3	29.4 <u>+</u> 0.08				
Rubble	2.25 <u>+</u> 1.5	5.6 <u>+</u> 0.04				
Sand	3.75 <u>+</u> 1.5	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.04				
Silt/clay	0 ± 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0				
Other	0.0 <u>+</u> 0.0	0 <u>+</u> 0.0				

^{*}Substrate categories are according to the Reef Check protocol, see http://www.reefcheck.org for the survey manual and details. Higher resolution data was also collected (see Table 8).

Table 8. 2004 substrate composition to species level for Coculus Rocks transect (based on four 20 m segments).

Sh	Shallow transect						
Category*	Count	Percent of transect					
AC							
AP							
AGAG	1	1					
AGTE	1	1					
CN							
DCA	12	8					
DC							
DIST	3	2					
DL							
DS	5	3					
ERY	6	4					
EF							
GV	3	2					
MILALC	6	4					
MA	5	3					
MC	12	8					
MYLA							
NIA	9	6					
OT							
PA	8	5					
PP	9	6					
PSEUDO	1	1					
RKC							
RC	35	22					
RB	9	6					
SD	15	9					
SR	4	3					
SS	9	6					
SI							
SC	1	1					
SP	5	3					
HC	1	1					

^{*} Substrate codes are listed in Appendix IV

Table 9. 2004 density of key species at Coculus Rocks transect

Shallow transect						
Fish	Mean no./(20m segment) ± SD					
Butterfly fish	0.25 ± 0.5					
Grunts and Margates	0 ± 0.0					
Snapper	0.25 ± 0.5					
Nassau grouper	0 ± 0.0					
Grouper	0 ± 0.0					
Hamlets	0.5 ± 0.58					
Parrotfish	1.25 ± 0.96					
Queen Triggerfish	0 ± 0.0					
Angelfish	0 ± 0.0					
Moray eel	0 ± 0					
Invertebrates						
Banded coral shrimp	0 <u>+</u> 0.0					
Diadema urchin	0.75 ± 0.96					
Pencil urchin	0.75 ± 0.96					
Triton shell	0 <u>+</u> 0.0					
Flamingo tongue	2.25 ± 2.63					
Gorgonian	44.25 <u>+</u> 5.56					
Sea egg (Tripneustes)	0 ± 0.0					
Lobster	0 ± 0.0					

Appendix I

Reef Check Site Description Sheet

Site name:	Great B	ay Transects	19 and 20				ALCOHOLD TO SERVICE AND ALCOHOLD AND A
BASIC INFORMATION							
Country:	United States Vi	rgin Islands	State/Province: S	t. Thomas	City/town:		
Date:	11-Sep-04	Time:	Start of survey:	12:30	End of survey:	13:45	
Latitude (deg. min. sec):	18d 19.252'N		Longitude (deg. min. sec):	64d	50.137'W	N end of transect	
From chart or by GPS? (If GPS, ind		chart	GPS x	GPS units:	ddd mm.m	mm	
Orientation of transect:	N-S	E-W x	NE-SW SE-NW	-			
Temperature (in degrees C):	air: C	- Addition	surface:C	at 3m:	_c	at 10m:C	
Distance	from shore (m):	300	from nearest river (km):	NA			
River mouth width:	<10 m		11-50 m	51-100 m		101-500 m	
Distance to nearest population center		<1km	Population size (x1000):	2-3			
Distance to hearest population center	a (km).	- IRIII	T Operation side (1170-5).				
Weather:	sunny	x	cloudy	raining			
Visibility (m):	15-20						
Why is this site selected:	as comparisson to	previous yea	r.Is this best reef in the area?	Yes:	<u>x</u>	No:	
IMPACTS:							
Is this site:	Always sheltered:	x	Sometimes:		_	Exposed:	
Major coral damaging storms		x			When	was last storm:	1999
lviagor corar damaging storms				1		***	
Overall anthropogenic impact	None		Low:		: <u>x</u>	High:	
Is siltation a problem	Never		Occasionally:		: <u>x</u>	Always:	
Blast fishing	None	X	Low:	Med	-	High:	
Poison fishing	None	X	Low:			High:	
Aquarium fishing		X		Med	The second secon	High:	
Harvest inverts for food			Low: x			High:	
Harvest inverts for curio sales		x	Low:			High: X	
Tourist diving/snorkeling:			Low:	Med		High:	
Sewage pollution (outfall or boat)					-	High:	
Industrial pollution		x	Low:	Med	:		
Commercial fishing (fish caught to				Med		High:	
sell for food)		:	Low: x			High:	
Live food fish trade	None	: <u>x</u>	Low:	IVICO	-		-
Artisinal/recreational (personal				Mad	l:	High:	
consumption)	None	:	Low: x	IVICC			
How many yachts are typically present within 1km of this site	None		Few (1-2):	Med (3-5)):	Many (>5): x	
protein management							
Other impacts:	Development	from hotels	/ residence runoff				
PROTECTION:							
Any protection (legal or other) at					rocco escribiration accessor		
this site?	Yes	X			es, answer question	ons below	
Is protection enforced	Yes		No: x	2.2			
What is the level of poaching in							
protected area?	None	:	Low: x	Med	d:	High	
Check which activities below are					Contract of the Contract of th		
banned:							
balliou.	Spearfishing		x				
	Commercial fish	ng	x				
	Recreational fish		x hoo	k and line permit	ter		
	Invertebrate or sl		x x				
	Anchoring				_		
	Diving				_		
	Other (please spe	cify)					
Other comments	hing is low in thi	s bay due to i	its proximity to hotels but is n	noderate to high	<1km away in san	ne	
		South e	nd of transect 18d 19.263'N -	64d 50.186'W	March and the March State of the State of th		
TEAM INFORMATION			97 W. C. T.				
Submitted by	sques, Jason and	Messineo, Je	nni Regional Coordinator:	111-11-127 1151	Vasques, Jas		
occanian of			Team Leader:	-	Messineo, Jeni		
			Team Scientist:		Vasques, Jas		
			Team Members:	-	Platenberg, Re	nata	

Appendix II.

Reef Check Site Description Sheet

Site name:		Grass Cay						
BASIC INFORMATION								
Country:	United States Vi	rgin Islands	State/Province:	St. Thoma	IS	City/town:		
Date:	25-Sep-04		Start of survey:	13:11		and of survey:	14:40	
Latitude (deg. min. sec):	18d 21.451' N	-	Longitude (deg. min.	The second secon		9.865' W		
From chart or by GPS? (If GPS, indi		chart	GPS_X_		units:		ım	
Orientation of transect:	N-S	E-W_x_		E-NW				
Temperature (in degrees C):	air:C		surface:C	at 3n	n:	C	at 10m:C	
Distance	from shore (m)	188	from nearest river (kr	n): NA				
River mouth width:	<10 m		11-50 m	5	1-100 m		101-500 m	
Distance to nearest population center	r (km):	3.3	Population size (x100	00): 3-5				
Weather:	gunns	x	cloudy		raining			
Visibility (m):	30	Δ	_ cloudy_		raming			
Visionity (iii).	30	•						
Why is this site selected:	to provide compar	isson to MPA	Is this best reef in the	area?	Yes: x		No :	
IMPACTS:								yalar s
Is this site:	Always sheltered:	x	Sometimes:				Exposed:	
Major coral damaging storms	Yes:	x	No		If yes,	When	was last storm:	1999
Overall anthropogenic impact	None		Low:_		Med: x		High:	
Is siltation a problem	Never		Occasionally: x		Often:		Always:	
Blast fishing	None		Low:		Med:		High:	
Poison fishing Aquarium fishing		X	Low:		Med: _ Med:		High:	
Harvest inverts for food					Med:			
Harvest inverts for curio sales	None		Low:	-	Med:		High:	
Tourist diving/snorkeling:	None	August 1997	Low:		Med:		High: x	-
Sewage pollution (outfall or boat)	None		Low: x		Med:		High:	e de la company
Industrial pollution	None	x	Low:		Med:		High:	-
Commercial fishing (fish caught to			-1000					
sell for food)	None	-	Low:		Med: x	the state of the s	High:	
Live food fish trade	None		Low:		Med: x		High:	
Artisinal/recreational (personal consumption)	Mone		Low		Mad-		High: v	
How many yachts are typically	NOIC		Low	-	Micu.		riigu. A	
present within 1km of this site	None		Few (1-2):	Me	ed (3-5):		Many (>5): x	
						W(42-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1		
Other impacts:	Development	from residence	es on nearby cays			TOTAL SON CHEST PROPERTY.		
PROTECTION:								
Any protection (legal or other) at this								
site?	Yes:		No: x		If yes,	answer questions	below	
Is protection enforced	Yes:		No:					
What is the level of poaching in								
protected area?	None		Low:		Med:		High	
Check which activities below are								
banned:	C							
	Spearfishing Commercial fishin		-					
	Recreational fishin		-					
	Invertebrate or she	-	-					
	Anchoring	ar concerning						
	Diving							
	Other (please spec	ify)	_					
Other comments	It is not know who	t lavel of man	off occurrs from develo	annanta on naarl	onue or	St Thomas and S	St John	
Other comments	transect start at bas		of occurs from develo	opinents on near	by cays or	St. Thomas and	st. John	
TEAM INFORMATION								
Submitted by	Messineo, Jennifer		Regional Coordinator	г		Vasques, Jason		
			Team Leader:		1	Messineo, Jennife	er	
			Team Scientist:			Vasques, Jason		
			Team Members:			Gordon, Shenell		
						Platenberg, Renat	a	
						Sjoken, Ron		

15

Appendix III.

Reef Check Site Description Sheet

Site name:	Coculus Roci	KS		
BASIC INFORMATION				water Medical Control of Participation (1995)
Country:	United States Virgin Islands	State/Province: St.	Thomas	City/town:
Date:		Start of survey:		survey: 12:45
Latitude (deg. min. sec):	18d 18.769' N	Longitude (deg. min. sec):	64d 51.627	
From chart or by GPS? (If GPS, indic		GPS_x_	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO	idd mm.mmm
Orientation of transect:	N-S x E-W	NE-SW SE-NW		
Temperature (in degrees C):	air:C	surface:C	at 3m:C	at 10m:C
Distance	from shore (m): 520	from nearest river (km):	2.2 = storm gut	
River mouth width:	<10 m x	11-50 m	51-100 m	101-500 m
Distance to nearest population center	(km): 1.07	Population size (x1000):	3-5	
Weather:	sunny x	cloudy	raining	
Visibility (m):	20			the state of the s
		2 41 1 4 61 4 6		
Why is this site selected:	is part of an MPA and other pro	els this best reef in the area?	Yes: x	No:
IMPACTS:				
Is this site:	Always sheltered:	Sometimes:		Exposed: x
Major coral damaging storms	Yes: x	No		When was last storm: 1999
Overall anthropogenic impact	None:	Lower	Med:	Ulahi v
Is siltation a problem	Never:	Low: Occasionally:	Often:	High: x Always: x
Blast fishing	None: X	Low:	Med:	High:
Poison fishing	None: X	Low:		High:
Aquarium fishing	None: X	Low:	Med:	High:
Harvest inverts for food	None:	Low: x	Med:	High:
Harvest inverts for curio sales	None: x	Low:		High:
Tourist diving/snorkeling:	None:	Low:	Med:	High: x
Sewage pollution (outfall or boat)	None:	-		
Industrial pollution	None:	Low:		High: x
Commercial fishing (fish caught to	-			
sell for food)	None:	Low: x	Med:	High:
Live food fish trade	None:	Low: x	Med:	High:
Artisinal/recreational (personal				
consumption)	None:	Low: x	Med:	High:
How many yachts are typically				
present within 1km of this site	None:	Few (1-2):	Med (3-5):	Many (>5): x
Other impacts:	Development and nea	rby landfill		
PROTECTION:				
Any protection (legal or other) at this				
site?	Yes: x	No:	If yes, answe	er questions below
Is protection enforced	Yes:	No: x	_	
What is the level of poaching in				
protected area?	None:	Low: x	Med:	High
Check which activities below are banned:				
	Spearfishing	x		
	Commercial fishing	x		
	Recreational fishing	x hook an	d line by permit	
	Invertebrate or shell collecting	x		
	Anchoring		Company of the property of the company	
	Diving			
	Other (please specify)			
Other comments	only one transect was conducted	because the reef is too small to	hold transects at differ	ent depths
TRANSPORTATION				
TEAM INFORMATION	V :- 1 ··	B : 10 F :	••	•
Submitted by	Messineo, Jennifer	Regional Coordinator:		ues, Jason
		Team Leader:		neo, Jennifer
		Team Scientist:	Contract of the last of the la	ues, Jason
		Team Members:		on, Shenell berg, Renata
				ken, Ron
				teman, Liz
			AA III I	willen, Life

Appendix IV. Substrate codes as used in tables 2, 5, and 8

Substrate Category	Substrate Code
Acropora cervicornis	AC
Acropora palmata	AP
Agaricia agaricites	AGAG
Agaricia tenuifolia	AGTE
Colpopyllia natans	CN
Dead coral and algae	DCA
Dendrogyra cylindrus	DC
Dichocoenia stokesii	DIST
Diploria labyrinthiformis	DL
Diploria strigosa	DS
Erythropodium caribaeorum	ERY
Eusmilia fastigiana	EF
Gorgonia ventalina	GV
Millepora alcicornis	MILALC
Montastraea annularis	MA
Montastraea cavernosa	MC
Mycetophyllia lamarckiana	MYLA
Nutrient indicator algae	NIA
Other	OT
Porites astreoides	PA
Porites porites	PP
Pseudopterogorgia spp.	PSEUDO
Recently killed coral	RKC
Rock	RC
Rubble	RB
Sand	SD
Siderastrea radians	SR
Siderastrea siderea	SS
Silt/clay	SI
Soft coral	SC
Sponge	SP
Unidentified hard coral	HC